COMP 122/L Lecture 1 Kyle Dewey

About Me

- I research automated testing techniques and their intersection with CS education
- This is my first semester at CSUN
- Third time teaching this content

About this Class

- See something wrong? Want something improved? Email me about it! (kyle.dewey@csun.edu)
- I generally operate based on feedback

Bad Feedback

- This guy sucks.
- This class is boring.
- This material is useless.

-I can't do anything in response to this

Good Feedback

- This guy sucks, I can't read his writing.
- This class is boring, it's way too slow.
- This material is useless, I don't see how it relates to anything in reality.

I can't fix anything if I don't know what's wrong

-I can actually do something about this!

Class Motivation

• • •

}

-I just want to write my code

}



-Image source: <u>http://media.firebox.com/pic/p5294_column_grid_12.jpg</u>
-Have some magic happen

}



-Image source: <u>http://media.firebox.com/pic/p5294_column_grid_12.jpg</u> -And then get a result



-Image source: http://dnr.wi.gov/eek/critter/reptile/images/turtleMidlandPainted.jpg
-But what if your magic isn't working fast enough?



-Image source: http://dnr.wi.gov/eek/critter/reptile/images/turtleMidlandPainted.jpg -Let's apply some better algorithms, improve time complexity, and so on...



-Image source: http://turtlefeed.tumblr.com/post/35444735335/ive-lost-track-of-howmany-turtle-on-skateboard -...and we're left with a slightly faster turtle

Why are things still slow?

The magic box isn't so magic

Array Access

arr[x]

- Constant time! (O(I))
- Where the **random** in random access memory comes from!

Array Access

arr[x]

• Constant ti

 Where the memory co



dom access

-Image source: <u>http://blog.fractureme.com/wp-content/uploads/2014/12/dwight-schrute-</u> false-288x300.jpg

Array Access

• Memory is loaded as chunks into caches

- Cache access is much faster (e.g., I0x)
- Iterating through an array is fast
- Jumping around any which way is slow
- Can make code exponentially faster

-Matrix multiply is the example at the end. If you take the graduate-level parallel programming course, you'll watch a matrix multiply program seemingly nonsensically get around 5-6X faster by using a memory layout which looks asinine, but processors love



-Both should take the same amount of time, right?



-Both should take the same amount of time, right?



image43914016

- Modern processors are pipelined, and can execute sub-portions of instructions in parallel
 - Depends on when instructions are encountered
- Some can execute whole instructions in different orders
- If your processor is from Intel, it is insane.

The Point

- If you really want performance, you need to know how the magic works
 - "But it scales!" empirically, probably not
 - Chrome is fast for a reason
- If you want to write a naive compiler, you need to know some low-level details
- If you want to write a *fast* compiler, you need to know *tons* of low-level details

-A bunch of Chrome is written using low-level machine instructions (assembly) -Ruby on Rails is horrendously slow, and is built on the idea of scaling up. A startup I know of beat a 50 node Rails cluster using one machine. Even in more typical settings, typically it's something like 10 Rails nodes to one optimized node. Twitter used to run Rails, but found that it was too slow to handle the sort of scale that it handles now.

So Why Circuits?



-Image source: <u>http://media.firebox.com/pic/p5294_column_grid_12.jpg</u>
-It's to turn this

So Why Circuits?



-Image source: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/MIPS_instruction_set#/media/ File:MIPS_Architecture_%28Pipelined%29.svg -...into this

So Why Circuits?

- Basically, circuits are the programming language of hardware
 - Yes, everything goes back to physics

Overall Course Structure

Syllabus

Working with Different Bases

Question: why exactly does 123 have the value 123? As in, what does it mean?

-Not a philosophy question -This is actually kind of brain-melting, but once this is understood everything else becomes second-nature

123

-Start with 123



-Break it down into its separate digits



-Values of each digit



-Values of each digit

Question

• Why did we go to tens? Hundreds?



Answer

• Because we are in decimal (base 10)



Another View

123

Another View



-Break it down into its separate digits

Another View



-Values of each digit

- Involves repeated division by the value of the base
 - From right to left: list the remainders
 - Continue until 0 is reached
 - Final value is result of reading remainders from bottom to top
- For example: what is 231 decimal to decimal?

23 I







-Final value: 231 (reading remainders from bottom to top)

- Binary is base 2
- Useful because circuits are either on or off, representable as two states, 0 and 1

1010







Question

• What is binary 0101 as a decimal number?

Answer

• What is binary 0101 as a decimal number?

• 5



• What is decimal 57 to binary?

57













Hexadecimal

- Base 16
- Binary is horribly inconvenient to write out
- Easier to convert between hexadecimal (which is more convenient) and binary
 - Each hexadecimal digit maps to four binary digits
 - Can just memorize a table

Hexadecimal

Digits 0-9, along with A (10), B (11), C (12),
 D (13), E (14), F (15)

• What is IAF hexadecimal in decimal?









Hexadecimal to Binary

- Previous techniques all work, using decimal as an intermediate
- The faster way: memorize a table (which can be easily reconstructed)

Hexadecimal to Binary

Hexadecimal	Binary	Hexadeo	cimal Binary
0	0000	8	1000
I	0001	9	1001
2	0010) 1010
3	0011	B (11) 1011
4	0100	C (12	2) 1100
5	0101	D (13	3) 0
6	0110	E (14) 0
7	0111	F (15)