

Object-Oriented Programming (OOP)

OOP (Minimal Definition)

- Objects contain fields holding data
- Objects can pass messages to each other

-Notably, this definition **doesn't** include words like method, class, encapsulation, polymorphism

OOP (Explicit Methods)

- Objects contain fields holding data and methods holding executable procedures
- Objects can pass messages to each other
- Objects can call methods on other objects/ have their methods called on

-More specific. Note that calling a method isn't necessarily straightforward - we might not have the method, we might have a backup plan if we don't have the method, and determining the correct method may be complex

- Objects contain fields holding data and methods holding executable procedures
- Objects can call methods on other objects/have their methods called on
- Objects encapsulate their state using access modifiers
- On a call, the correct method may be chosen at runtime, which is a form of *polymorphism*
- Methods can be *overridden*, allowing for more specific behavior
- Abstraction allows for interfaces to contain only immediately relevant information
- Classes define a template to make objects from
- Classes may inherit fields and methods from other classes
- All ideas that were ever good are object-oriented

- Objects contain fields holding data and methods holding executable procedures ٠
- ٠ Objects can call methods on other objects/have their methods called on
- Objects encapsulate their state using access modifiers ٠
- On a call, the correct method may be chosen at runtime, which is a form of *polymorphism* ٠
- Methods can be overridden, allowing for more specific behavior ٠
- Abstraction allows for interfaces to contain only immediately relevant information .
- Classes define a template to make objects from •
- Not specific to OOP Classes may inherit fields and methods from other classes ٠
- All ideas that were ever good are object-oriented

-Encapsulation is possible in C

-Anything with higher-order functions allows polymorphism

-Typeclasses (which are unrelated to OOP classes) allow overriding and inheritance

-Abstraction existed before computers did

- Objects contain fields holding data and methods holding executable procedures
- Objects can call methods on other objects/have their methods called on
- Objects encapsulate their state using access modifiers
- On a call, the correct method may be chosen at runtime, which is a form of polymorphism

Specific to

class-based

OOP

- Methods can be overridden, allowing for more specific behavior
- Abstraction allows for interfaces to contain only immediately relevant information
- Classes define a template to make objects from
- Classes may inherit fields and methods from other classes
- All ideas that were ever good are object-oriented

-Prototype-based OOP doesn't have classes

- Objects contain fields holding data and methods holding executable procedures ٠
- Objects can call methods on other objects/have their methods called on Many OOP languages ٠ •
- Objects encapsulate their state using access modifiers
- On a call, the correct method may be chosen at runtime, which is a form of polymorphism ٠
- Methods can be overridden, allowing for more specific behavior ٠
- Abstraction allows for interfaces to contain only immediately relevant information .
- Classes define a template to make objects from .
- Classes may inherit fields and methods from other classes ٠
- All ideas that were ever good are object-oriented

-Commonly dynamic languages don't support proper encapsulation (Python, Ruby)



- Objects can call methods on other objects/have their methods called on
- Objects encapsulate their state using access modifiers
- On a call, the correct method may be chosen at runtime, which is a form of *polymorphism*

Often considered

a bad idea

- Methods can be overridden, allowing for more specific behavior
- Abstraction allows for interfaces to contain only immediately relevant information
- Classes define a template to make objects from
- Classes may inherit fields and methods from other classes
- All ideas that were ever good are object-oriented

-Commonly dynamic languages don't support proper encapsulation (Python, Ruby)

- Objects contain fields holding data and methods holding executable procedures
- Objects can call methods on other objects/have their methods called on
- Objects encapsulate their state using access modifiers
- On a call, the correct method may be chosen at runtime, which is a form of polymorphism
- Methods can be overridden, allowing for more specific behavior
- Abstraction allows for interfaces to contain only immediately relevant information
- Classes define a template to make objects from
- Classes may inherit fields and methods from other classes





Virtual Dispatch

- AKA dynamic dispatch, polymorphism
- The method/code actually called is determined at runtime



Virtual Dispatch Use

- Allows for abstracting over computation
- The computation itself becomes a parameter



- Allows for abstracting over computation
- The computation itself becomes a parameter

void foo(SortRoutine s) { ... }

-For example, I can define a method that takes a sorting routine...



-...and then call it with different sorting routines

-InsertionSort makes sense on data that you know to be nearly sorted, and MergeSort works best when the data is not nearly sorted

Virtual Dispatch vs. if

- Both conditionally execute code
 - if: based on if condition is true/false
 - Virtual dispatch: based on the specific runtime method passed
- if's that are used to select between different code behaviors are undesirable
- Smalltalk has ifTrue:ifFalse: method on its boolean type



Virtual Dispatch in...C?

-Function pointers exist in C, and are low-level

-Basic idea: code for functions exist in memory, therefore we can have a value that represents the address of an entire function

-By passing different function addresses, we can call different code

-The point: polymorphism is not unique to OOP, and is a core feature of almost any practical language

So What are Classes?

- Generally, for each class, there is a table of function pointers
- Method calls involve looking into fixed locations in this table, and calling the pointer found
- This table is known as a virtual table, or vtable

```
class Base{
  void foo() {
    print("base");
  }
}
class Sub extends Base{
 void foo() {
    print("sub");
  }
}
Base b = new Sub();
b.foo();
```

-We have this code here

```
class Base{
                         base foo:
 void foo() {
                           print "base"
    print("base");
                           return
                         sub foo:
                           print "sub"
class Sub extends Base{
                           return
 void foo() {
                         base table:
    print("sub");
                           .word base foo
                         sub table:
                           .word sub foo
Base b = new Sub();
b.foo();
```

-The pseudo-assembly may look something like this

-Each method gets its own code. At the assembly level, we can disambiguate between Base's foo and Sub's foo by using different names -There is a table for both Base and Sub

```
class Base{
                         base foo:
  void foo() {
                           print "base"
    print("base");
                           return
                         sub foo:
                           print "sub"
class Sub extends Base{
                           return
  void foo() {
                         base table:
    print("sub");
                           .word base foo
                         sub table:
                           .word sub foo
                      b = alloc object
Base b = new Sub();
                      b.table = sub table
b.foo();
                      call b.table[0]
```

-When we create an object, we allocate space for it

-We initialize the object's table to whatever vtable corresponded to that type. Here we make a Sub, so we use the table for sub

-Each method corresponds to an index in the table. In this case, index 0 gets mapped to foo

-On a call, we look at the table for the object (which is always at a fixed index), and go to the index corresponding to the method called. That holds a pointer to a function. We call this pointer



-Why this exercise? Polymorphism tends to look magical and is often heralded as a super-unique thing to OOP. This should disambiguate how polymorphism works, and make it look less like magic



Classes vs. Prototypes

- Classes: classes inherit from other classes
- Prototypes: objects inherit from other objects
- Since objects can be mutated, prototypes allow:
 - Dynamically adding or removing inherited methods
 - Dynamically changing hierarchies
- Much more flexible than classes

Demo: Prototype-Based Inheritance in JavaScript Exercise: Prototype-Based Inheritance in JavaScript