

COMP 410
Fall 2019
Final Practice Exam

The topics on this practice exam reflect **ONLY** those which have been covered since the last exam. The real final is **CUMULATIVE**, so it will include questions similar to the previous practice exams. However, the final will be biased towards the sort of questions below.

Prolog Metainterpreters

1.) Write a metainterpreter which shows the number of conjunctions which were needed to compute a particular solution. Example queries follow. Your metainterpreter needs to handle only the rules necessary to execute these queries below.

```
?- interpret((X is 1 + 1, Y is 2 + 2), ConjunctionCount).  
X = 2, Y = 4, ConjunctionCount = 1.
```

```
% This definition is used in the query below  
% myLength([], 0).  
% myLength([_|T], Len) :-  
%     myLength(T, TLen),  
%     Len is TLen + 1.
```

```
?- interpret(myLength([a, b, c, d], Len), ConjunctionCount).  
Len = 4, ConjunctionCount = 4.
```

Constraint Logic Programming and Peano Arithmetic

2.) Using CLP constraints, write a query which finds all integers X and Y such that:

```
X >= 0
X <= 10
Y >= 0
Y <= 10
X + Y < 10
```

3.) Via the Peano axioms, we can define natural numbers n as follows:

```
n ::= zero | succ(n)
```

...where $\text{succ}(n)$ represents the successor to some other natural number n .

3.a.) Write out 5 as a natural number encoded with the Peano axioms.

3.b.) Assume the presence of a procedure `add/3`, which takes three natural numbers encoded with the Peano axioms. The first two arguments are inputs, and the third argument is the sum of the two inputs. Define a procedure `multiply/3`, which takes three natural numbers encoded with the Peano axioms. `multiply/3` multiplies the first two arguments together, placing the result in the third argument. You may assume the first two inputs will always be provided. As a hint:

- $0 * n = 0$
- $1 * n = n$
- $n * m = m + ((n - 1) * m)$ for $n, m > 1$

Mercury

4.) Write a procedure named `sublist` which will take a list and nondeterministically produce lists which contain elements in the input list. Example queries follow:

```
?- sublist([], List).  
List = [].
```

```
?- sublist([1], List).  
List = [1] ;  
List = [].
```

```
?- sublist([1, 2], List).  
List = [1, 2] ;  
List = [1] ;  
List = [2] ;  
List = [].
```

Be sure to write appropriate `pred` and `mode` annotations. You only need to write mode annotations corresponding to the queries above.

5.) Write a procedure that conforms to the following `pred` and `mode` annotations:

```
:- pred foo(int, int).  
:- mode foo(in, out) is multi.  
:- mode foo(in, in) is semidet.
```

6.) Consider the following Mercury code, which does not compile as written:

```
:- type my_type(A) ---> foo(A) ; bar(A, A) ; baz(A, A, A).  
  
:- pred something(my_type(A), A).  
:- mode something(in, out) is det.  
something(foo(A), A).  
something(bar(A, _), A).
```

6.a) Why doesn't this code compile?

6.b) It is possible to get this code to compile by changing the `type` definition. Write a revised `type` definition below which will allow this code to compile. You may assume that this code is in complete isolation, so changing the `type` definition won't break anything elsewhere.

6.c) It is possible to get this code to compile by changing the `mode` annotation. Write a revised `mode` annotation below which will allow this code to compile. As before, you may assume the code is in complete isolation.

6.d) It is possible to get this code to compile by adding another line of code at the end. Write this added line below. This line may do whatever it wants, as long as it allows the code to compile. As before, you may assume the code is in complete isolation.