Repetition and Structures

1 Repetition

Most of the examples so far have been pretty simple, and we have carefully avoided programs that employ any sort of repetition. Here we properly introduce repetition.

While many languages use loops for repetition, these are intentionally absent in Prolog. Since state cannot be mutated in Prolog, it is difficult to write a loop that does any actual real work. Instead, Prolog uses recursion to perform repetition. This is made possible with recursively-defined rules.

For example, let's consider the factorial function (!) from mathematics, shown below as a piecewise function:

$$n! = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 0\\ n \times (n-1)! & \text{if } n > 0 \end{cases}$$

The above definition is recursive, and can quite naturally be expressed in Prolog using recursively-defined rules. Prolog code implementing the above function is shown below:

```
\begin{array}{lll} 1 & \text{factorial} \left(0\,,\ 1\right). \\ 2 & \text{factorial} \left(N,\ \text{Result}\right) :- \\ 3 & N > 0\,, \\ 4 & \text{MinOne is } N-1\,, \\ 5 & \text{factorial} \left(\text{MinOne}\,,\ \text{RestResult}\,\right), \\ 6 & \text{Result is } N * \text{RestResult}\,. \end{array}
```

A line-by-line explanation of the above code follows:

- 1. Fact implementing the behavior that the factorial of 0 is 1, directly from the original math
- 2. Rule implementing the recursive case of factorial.
- 3. Check that the input n is greater than 0
- 4. Calculation of n-1 in the original math
- 5. Calculation of (n-1)! in the original math
- 6. Calculation of $n \times (n-1)!$ in the original math

Example queries to the above code are shown below. Note that the engine had additional choices to explore and appeared to "hang", as occurs whenever the engine has further nondeterministic choices it can explore. In this case, extra exploration always leads to failure. As such, instead of pressing semicolon (;) for more solutions, period (.) was instead pressed to stop the search.

```
1 ?- factorial(0, N).
2 N = 1.
3 ?- factorial(1, N).
4 N = 1.
5 ?- factorial(2, N).
6 N = 2.
7 ?- factorial(3, N).
8 N = 6.
9 ?- factorial(4, N).
10 N = 24.
```

As shown with the example queries above, the first parameter to factorial is the number to get the factorial of, and the second parameter holds the result.

2 Structures

In addition to integers and atoms, we can also form composite data structures. Composite data structures (or just structures) allow us to hold multiple values at once, much like a tuple. The only real difference from a tuple is that they also have a given name associated with them. Compared to SimpleScala, Prolog structures behave like a combination of a constructor and a tuple. To illustrate this, the code snippet below shows a structure named foo which contains the values 1 and 2:

```
foo(1, 2)
```

Structures can be used to build up larger data structures, and often recursive data structures like lists and trees. To demonstrate, we will implement some list operations below which operate on a custom list definition, where cons is the name of a structure representing a non-empty list, and nil is an atom representing an empty list. With this in mind, we will defined a myAppend routine which appends two lists together, resulting in a third list.

```
1 myAppend(nil, List, List).
2 myAppend(cons(Head, Tail), List, cons(Head, Rest)) :-
3 myAppend(Tail, List, Rest).
```

A line-by-line explanation of the above code follows:

- 1. If the first list is empty, the result (held in the third parameter) should be the same as the second list. In other words, if we append an empty list onto some other list List, then the result should be List.
- 2. If the first list is non-empty, name the components of the list Head (for the first element of the list) and Tail (for the rest of the list). The result list should start with this same Head, followed by the other list Rest, which has not yet been defined.
- 3. Recursively call myAppend, using Tail (the rest of the elements in the first parameter), List (the second parameter), and Rest (the recursive result).

An example query using the above myAppend definition follows. This query appends the list 1, 2, 3 onto the list 4, 5, 6, yielding the result list 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6. The query follows:

Lists are very commonly used in Prolog. As such, there is built-in notation for lists, which helps improve readability and gets rid of all the extra parentheses above. This notation is translated down into normal structures which behave very similarly to cons and nil above; in fact, the only differences are in the structure names used. Some notes on the built-in list notation follow:

- []: The empty list
- [1]: A list containing one element, namely 1
- [1, 2]: A list containing two elements, namely 1 and 2. This same pattern can be used for a list of length 3 (e.g., [1, 2, 3]), and so on.
- [Head|Tail]: A non-empty list that starts with the element Head, where the rest of the list is held in Tail.
- [First, Second|Rest]: A list with a minimum length of 2, where the first element is First, the second element is Second, and the rest of the elements (as in, all elements after Second) are in the list Rest.

We can rewrite myAppend with this updated list notation. This rewrite (yielding myAppend2) is shown below, along with the updated query:

While the code above looks very different from the code before, this behaves in exactly the same way.

2.1 Executing "Backwards"

Before concluding this section, there is an important point to make about how myAppend2 works. In the previous example, we simply appended the lists [1, 2, 3] and [4, 5, 6] together, yielding the unsurprising result [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. By this point the idea of appending lists together in this way is old-hat, so this does not really show off anything particularly interesting about Prolog.

What is interesting about myAppend2 is that we can execute it effectively "in reverse". For example, instead of giving it the known inputs of [1, 2, 3] and [4, 5, 6] and asking for the output, we can instead give a known output of [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6] and ask for inputs. Such a query is shown below:

```
?— myAppend2(Input1, Input2, [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]).
```

If we keep hitting semicolon (;) and ask for different query results, we end up with 7 in all, listed below:

```
1. Input1 = [], Input2 = [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]

2. Input1 = [1], Input2 = [2, 3, 4, 5, 6]

3. Input1 = [1, 2], Input2 = [3, 4, 5, 6]

4. Input1 = [1, 2, 3], Input2 = [4, 5, 6]

5. Input1 = [1, 2, 3, 4], Input2 = [5, 6]

6. Input1 = [1, 2, 3, 4, 5], Input2 = [6]

7. Input1 = [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6], Input2 = []
```

As shown with these results, such a query effectively asks "which two unknown lists, when appended to each other, yield the list [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]?" Each of the above answers reflects some possible combination of the input lists Input1 and Input2 to yield this expected result list (e.g., the first result appends the empty list onto [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6], the second result appends the list [1] onto the list [2, 3, 4, 5, 6], and so on). In this way, depending on the query we issue to myAppend2, we can effectively execute "backwards", going from known outputs to unknown inputs.